Matthew C. Smith (State Bar No. 276024) Santana and Smith Law Firm, P.C. The Historic Winship Building 500 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991 TEL: (530) 822-9500	
FAX: (530) 751-7910	
5 Attorney for Defendant 6 Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia	
Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia	
IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:21-CR-0079-TLN
Plaintiff,	
VS	STIPULATION AND ORDER
Defendant.	
STI	PULATION
1. By previous order, this matter was se	t for status on January 19, 2023.
2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until April 2	
2023 at 9:30 a.m. and to exclude time between Jan	uary 19, 2023, and April 20, 2023, under Local Cod
	aury 17, 2025, and 11pm 20, 2025, ander 2004 200
14.	
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and re	equest that the Court find the following:
a.) The government has produced	I the discovery associated with this case including,
among other things, investigative reports, ph	otographs, and video recordings.
b.) Counsel for defendant desires	additional time to consult with his client, to review
	on and research related to the charges, to review
	Santana and Smith Law Firm, P.C. The Historic Winship Building 500 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991 TEL: (530) 822-9500 FAX: (530) 751-7910 Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia IN THE UNITED STAT FOR THE EASTERN DIS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. AUSTREBERTO SANTAMARIA-VALENCIA, Defendant. STII 1. By previous order, this matter was se 2. By this stipulation, defendant now medical stipulate, and recommendation of the parties agree and stipulate, and recommendation of

Case 2:21-cr-00079-TLN Document 39 Filed 01/17/23 Page 2 of 3

discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with his client, and to prepare pretrial motions.

- c.) Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d.) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e.) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f.) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 19, 2023 to April 20, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Rule T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: January 16, 2023	/s/ Matthew C. Smith MATTHEW C. SMITH Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia
Date: January 16, 2023	/s/ James R. Conolly James R. Conolly Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:21-cr-00079-TLN Document 39 Filed 01/17/23 Page 3 of 3

FINDINGS AND ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 17th day of January, 2023.

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge